

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a blatant example of a powerful corporation using its power to influence an election. They use the public airways without charge, and they take away the ability to respond by those of us who do not agree with their views and who do not have the resources to counteract their viewpoint. If they are allowed to air their documentary, they should be required also to air a viewpoint contrary to theirs at the same time.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.